



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,801	10/29/2001	Richard D. Posner	23608-0701	3222

25235 7590 11/15/2005

HOGAN & HARTSON LLP
ONE TABOR CENTER, SUITE 1500
1200 SEVENTEENTH ST
DENVER, CO 80202

EXAMINER

JAMAL, ALEXANDER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2643

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/052,801

Applicant(s)

POSNER ET AL.

Examiner

Alexander Jamal

Art Unit

2643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 October 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Based upon the submitted amendment (10-17-2005), the examiner notes that claims 1,15,17,23,31,33 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. **Claims 1,9-30,33 are** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (5877653).

As per **claim 1**, Kim discloses a feed-forward linear amplifier controlled by a differential spurious ratio (ABSTRACT). The amplifier comprises first monitoring point 218 (Fig. 2) coupled to a first loop and a second monitoring point (234) coupled to the amplifier output. The amplifier comprises control circuitry (comprised of units 235,236,237). The control circuitry comprises inputs coupled to the first and second monitoring points (via couplers 234,233), and control outputs used to control the amplifier (via ATT1,PIC1,ATT2,PIC2). The system further comprises frequency information (PCD) applied to the control unit (Col 6 lines 40-52). The control system controls the differential spurious ratio of the noise (spurious) to the signal level by

adjusting the phase and gain of each stage in the feed-forward amplifier. The system further acts to minimize the ratio of input noise (couplers 231,233 in Fig. 2) to output noise (coupler 234) by adjustment of the phase and gain of signals within the feed-forward amplifier. The spurious components are instantaneously and differentially read by the functions of subtractor 219 and coupler 223. Subtractor 219 provides a differential spurious reading from coupler points 216 and 218. The output is fed via coupler 233 to control unit 235. Likewise, signal coupler 223 provides a differential comparison between the signal at point 218 and the output signal at point 223. The distortion term coupled in at point 223 will be used to cancel any IM in the output signal from delay 215, as such the signal at point 234 is a difference signal (Col 19 line 40 to Col 20 line 8). The output is fed via coupler 234 to control unit 235. The device functions to reduce both the ratio of input IM to output IM (via the subtraction functions) and present state IM to previous state IM.

As per **claim 17**, claim rejected for same reasons as claim 1 rejection. Kim's system may receive a multi carrier input signal (Col 1 lines 25-45) via input sampling coupler 216 (Fig. 2). Coupler 216 is coupled to output a signal to phase and gain circuitry (211,212,213), which are coupled to output to amplifier 214 that outputs an amplified input signal and spurious components. The amplifier further comprises distortion sampling coupler 218 (or 232) coupled to the output of amplifier 214. The system further comprises a summing coupler 219 (coupled with the distortion coupler) with an input from delay line 217, with delay line 217 also coupled to the output of

Art Unit: 2643

coupler 216. The system further comprises first monitoring coupler (233) coupled to the output of summer 219. The system further comprises second delay line 215 coupled to the output of amplifier 214 (which comprises distortion sampling coupler 218) in order to shift the phase of the amplified signal so as to be inverted (back phase) (Col 21 lines 10-32). The amplifier further comprises a second monitoring coupler (234) coupled to the output of coupler 223. The amplifier further comprises second phase and gain circuitry (220,221) coupled to the signal monitored by coupler 233, and further coupled to output to error amplifier 222, which outputs to coupler 223 (error signal injection coupler). The amplifier further comprises a control unit (units 235,236,237) that receives inputs from the first and second monitoring points, receives frequency information for the signal (PCD), and sends outputs to the second phase and gain circuitry.

As per **claims 23,33**, claims rejected as a method performed by the device of the claim 17 rejection. The spurious components are monitored via couplers 216,218 and 234 (Fig. 2), and the amplifier is controlled so that the phase and gain of the spurious channel and the main channel are aligned so that the ratio of the output spurious signal (detected via coupler 234) to the initially detected distortion signal (detected via coupler 233) is minimized (ie. the output distortion is suppressed) (Col 11 line 54 to Col 12 line 17). Examiner notes that the said ratios are controlled by feedback from the differentially monitored points as per the claim 1 rejection. Monitoring points 218,233,223,234,232 (Fig. 2) all monitor spurious components.

As per **claims 9, 10**, Kim discloses monitoring points 218,232,233 (Fig. 2).

As per **claims 11,12,14** claim rejected for same reasons as claim 17 rejection.

As per **claim 13**, Kim discloses vector modulation using Cartesian coordinates (either amplitude/frequency or (amplitude,frequency,phase)/time) (Col 13 lines 38-65).

As per **claims 15,20**, the system comprises first and second receivers (as part of signal selector 235 and detector 236) for receiving the monitored signals. Controller 237 comprises first and second ratio detectors coupled to the receivers via signal selector 235. The controller functions to reduce both the ratio of input IM to output IM (via the subtraction functions) and present state IM to previous state IM as per the claim 1 rejection.

As per **claim 16**, detector 236 comprises mixers 715,718 (Fig. 7) coupled to the first monitoring point (SF), bandpass filter 716, oscillator 714, and PCD (PLL) information 713.

As per **claims 18,19**, the spurious component is intermodulation from a multi-carrier input signal caused by the non-linearity of the amplifier (Col 1 lines 15-60).

As per **claims 21**, claim rejected for same reasons as claim 15 rejection.

As per **claim 22**, the system comprises a predistorter 213 (Fig. 2).

As per **claims 24-30**, claims rejected as methods performed by the devices of the claim 1 and 17 rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 31,32** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (5877653).

As per **claim 31**, Kim discloses claim 31 as per the claim 17 and claim 23 rejections. The system comprises coupler 233 (Fig. 2) and coupler 234. The system additionally comprises coupler 232. The control unit functions to adjust the gain and phase of the predistortion and error loop signals such that the ratios of output distortion to the predistorter distortion and error loop distortion are minimized. However, Kim does not disclose using a monitoring point directly at the output of the predistortion unit.

The system uses a coupling point 218 directly at the output of the linear amplifier stage. Since the system iteratively acts to minimize the output distortion by varying the phase/gain of the signals within the amplifier loop, and since the gain and phase information from predistorter 213 will carry through to amplifier 214, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that the monitored signal could be coupled from either before or after the main power amplifier as a matter of design choice.

As per **claims 32**, the system further comprises couplers 218,232,233 coupled to phase gain adjusting circuits 220,221.

6. **Claims 2-8** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (5877653) as applied to claim 1 and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art in the specification (Page 12 lines 9-22).

As per **claims 2-8**, Kim discloses applicant's claim 1. However, Kim does not disclose that the input signal frequency information is provided from one of the following: a bank of synthesizers coupled by one of an RS232, RS485, TCP/IP or I2C bus; an input signal preset; a scanning circuit.

Kim discloses using PCD data in order to recover RF signals information in the amplifier (Col 13 lines 40-50). Applicant's specification discloses that it is known that, in an RF phone, frequency information may be obtained via a control bus (conforming to a known standard), input signal presets, or a scanning circuit (SPECIFICATION Page 12 lines 9-22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that the frequency information could be provided by any of the known methods of obtaining frequency information for the advantage that the feed-forward amplifier may be implemented to be compatible with existing RF phone interfaces (thus saving the cost of adding an additional interface).

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2643

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1-33** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. However, examiner notes the following responses to applicant's arguments.

As per applicant's comments regarding the Kim reference not disclosing a differential spurious ratio control system, examiner notes that the subtraction and canceling functions of subtractor 219 and coupler 223 provide an instantaneous differential spurious ratio control system as per the claim 1 rejection.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are **571-273-8300** for regular communications and **571-273-8300** for After Final communications.

AJ
November 7, 2005


CURTIS KUNTZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600